UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for th

for the	
District of Massachusetts	
United States of America) v.)	
Edwin Rodriguez)	Case No: 00-30027-MAP USM No: 90557-038
Date of Previous Judgment:04/11/2002)	Judith Mizner, Esq.
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)	Defendant's Attorney
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)	
Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,	
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's p the last judgment issued) of 152	reviously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to Time Served .
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE Previous Offense Level: 29 Criminal History Category: V Previous Guideline Range: 140 to 175 months	E (Prior to Any Departures) Amended Offense Level: 29 Criminal History Category: VI Amended Guideline Range: 140 to 175 months
 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range. ✓ Other (explain): The court departed from the Career Offender guidelines to the otherwise applicable Chapter 2 and 3 guideline calculations. 	
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS The defendant is to release to a Community Corrections Center where he will remain for up to six months or until such time that he secures a residence approved by the U.S. Probation Department. The defendant will be required to abide by all the rules of that facility.	
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 04/11/2002 shall remain in effect.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Order Date: 11/01/2011	Altylin 1. (Uladle)
Effective Date: Novemby 1 2 (if different from order date)	Judge's signature Douglas P. Woodlock, U.S.D.J. Printed name and title
THE TOTAL OF THE PARTY OF THE P	